

DAB 67-4510

Approved For Release 2002/11/22 : CIA-RDP79-00632A000100080021-9

CONFIDENTIAL

EYES ONLY

30 August 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Release of 29 August 1967 by Senator Ervin on Senate 1035

1. There are listed below the sections of Senator Ervin's release which represent a statement or a question as to the practices of the Agency together with the comments as to their validity.

Page 2: The first three paragraphs question whether both CIA and NSA "order their employees to go out and lobby...", "order them to go out and support organizations...", "require their employees to go out and work for the nomination or election of candidates..."

Comment: The Agency does not engage in such practices.

Page 2: The last paragraph pertaining to the evaluation of a secretary for employment.



25X1

This form is a qualified version of Standard Form 89 which was used throughout Government until the Spring of 1967 when it was in effect banned by the Civil Service Commission. Our Form 2223 is a modified version of the SF 89 and we continue to use it for our applicant processing.




25X1

EYES ONLY

Approved For Release 2002/11/22 : CIA-RDP79-00632A000100080021-9

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL
EYES ONLY

25X1



Page 3: Question about coercion of employees to contribute to charity and buy bonds.

Comment: The Agency does not coerce its employees to contribute either to charity or the buying of bonds. We do ask the employees to check a form as to whether or not they are participating in the bond purchase program; however, we specifically tell all key-men that there is to be absolutely no pressure in the bond drive.

Page 3: The question: "Why Congress should grant these agencies the right to spend money recruiting on college campuses and strap the young applicants to machines and ask them questions about their families and personal lives."

Comment: In our applicant package of forms the applicant is informed that we expect him to take a polygraph interview which covers loyalty, background and character of the applicant. The questions listed in the Ervin statement but which we never ask in the polygraph interviews are as follows:

EYES ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

EYES ONLY
3

"When was the first time you had sexual relations with a woman?"

"How many times have you had sexual intercourse?"

"When was the first time you had intercourse with your wife?"

"Did you have intercourse with her before you were married?"

"How many times?"



25X1

Page 4: The statement that the Subcommittee has received comments from a number of professors indicating concern of their faculties that their students are being subjected to such practices.

Comment: We have no evidence of any comments made by Agency applicants to the Subcommittee. We did have one case where a faculty member of a college refused to complete a polygraph interview and withdrew her application.

Page 4: In reference to the quoted letter from a Foreign Service Officer to Representative Gallagher.

Comment: We have been unable to identify this case but such a case could readily have occurred with an individual being questioned on his moral beliefs but not in the manner described in the letter. We know of no instance where the deputy chief of the polygraph unit said to a subject: "Would you prefer that we use the thumb screws?"

EYES ONLY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL
EYES ONLY

4

Page 6: The statement about the AFL-CIO executive council and legislation in certain states and several cities appears to be correct. We are uncertain whether the FBI made such a statement but it is quite possible they did so.

Page 6: The last paragraph in which the statement is made that the Bill limits the use of the polygraph only in certain areas and the Director may authorize its use if he thinks it necessary to protect the National Security.

Comment: Senate 1035 refers to Section 1(f) and to Section 6 which does provide the Director this authority on the basis of a personal finding with regard to each individual to be so tested.

Page 7: The question of why CIA and NSA force their employees to disclose all of their and their families' assets, etc. unless they are responsible for handling money and that the Bill has granted the agencies the exception if the Director says that the disclosure is necessary to protect the National Security.

Comment: This applies to Sections 1(1) and 1(j) and Section 6. The requirement for certain information concerning financial interests is established in E.O. 11222 dated 8 May 1965 and set forth in Agency

We do ask for minor information in our PHS for credit reference purposes.

2. The above covers the pertinent statements or questions posed to the Agency.

25X1A

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

cc: D/MS

EYES ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

STAT

Approved For Release 2002/11/22 : CIA-RDP79-00632A000100080021-9

Approved For Release 2002/11/22 : CIA-RDP79-00632A000100080021-9